1 2 3	Final - Minutes Microscopic Hair Comparison Case Review Subcommittee of the Forensic Science Board
4	November 15, 2016
5	Department of Forensic Science, Central Laboratory, Classroom 1
6	•
7	Subcommittee Members Present
8	Vince S. Donoghue, Essex Commonwealth's Attorney (Designee of Senator Mark D. Obenshain,
9	Chair of the Senate Courts of Justice Committee) – Subcommittee Chair
10	David A. C. Long, Esq.
11	Lieutenant Colonel Tracy Russillo, Deputy Superintendent, Virginia State Police (Designee of
12	Colonel W. Steven Flaherty, Superintendent, Virginia State Police)
13	
14	Legal Counsel for the Forensic Science Board
15	Michelle Welch, Esq., Assistant Attorney General
16	CL CONT. I D
17	Staff Members Present
18	Amy M. Curtis, Department Counsel
19	Katya N. Herndon, Chief Deputy Director
20	Alka B. Lohmann, Director of Technical Services
21	Robert W. Scanlon, Principal Forensic Scientist
22 23	Carisa M. Studer, Legal Assistant
24 25	Call to Order by Subcommittee Chair Vince Donoghue
26 27 28	Mr. Donoghue called the meeting of the Microscopic Hair Comparison Case Review Subcommittee ("Subcommittee") to order at 1:30 p.m.
29 30	Adoption of Agenda
31 32 33 34	The Chair asked if there were any additions or changes to the draft agenda for the meeting. Being none, the Chair made a motion to adopt the agenda, which was seconded by Lt. Colonel Russillo and adopted by unanimous vote of the Subcommittee.
35 36	Approval of Draft Minutes of the October 13, 2016 Meeting
37 38 39	The Chair asked if there were any changes or corrections to the draft minutes from the October 13, 2016 meeting. Being none, Lt. Col. Russillo made a motion to adopt the minutes, which was seconded by Mr. Long and approved by unanimous vote of the Subcommittee.
40 41	<u>Discussion</u>
42 43 44 45 46	Amy Curtis, Department Counsel, presented for the Subcommittee's consideration the results of the Review Team's results from their October 27, 2016 meeting. Mr. Long moved that the Subcommittee move into a closed session per §2.2-3711 (A)(36), because the Subcommittee will be discussing specific information from criminal investigative files as part of the Review Team's

results, the Subcommittee will only be discussing the criminal investigative files that were subject of the Review Team's meeting, which was seconded by the Chair and approved by unanimous vote of the Subcommittee.

The Subcommittee met in closed session.

The Subcommittee concluded the closed session and reconvened to an open meeting at 2:10 p.m.

A roll call vote was taken of each Subcommittee member to certify that to the best of each member's knowledge only exempt information was discussed, and only matters identified in the motion were heard, considered and discussed. The Chair, Mr. Long, and Lt. Colonel Russillo each certified that only exempt information was discussed, and only matters identified in the motion were heard, considered and discussed.

The Subcommittee continued to the next item on the agenda.

Ms. Curtis presented revisions made to the case review initial screening that were found to be helpful after the first review team meeting. The Review team is also considering revisions to the transcript review guidance document that would be more efficient for future review team meetings.

Mr. Long moved to adopt the revisions to the case review initial screening form, which was seconded by the Chair and approved by unanimous vote of the Subcommittee.

Ms. Curtis presented the draft notification letter that the Subcommittee directed DFS staff to write at the previous Subcommittee meeting. The Subcommittee discussed the draft notification letter. They discussed sending the notification letter to the law enforcement agency/the Commonwealth Attorney, the defendant, and the defendant's attorney. The notification letter will be sent to law enforcement even if contact information for the defendant cannot be located. Qualifying language will be added to the law enforcement letter that attempts will be made to locate and notify the defendant. The Subcommittee directed DFS staff to add contact information for a pro-bono attorney to the defendant's notification letter. The Subcommittee directed DFS staff to keep all three version of the notification letter substantively the same.

The Subcommittee discussed including copies of the Certificates of Analysis with the notification letter if the results of the report are not definitive.

The Subcommittee discussed notifying next of kin on any cases that the defendant is deceased. They agreed not to hold notification to law enforcement and the Commonwealth's attorney while next of kin contact information is being located.

The Subcommittee discussed and agreed to send notification letters regardless if there was a guilty plea in a case.

The Subcommittee discussed that the notification letters will be printed on the Forensic Science Board ("Board") letterhead and signed by the Board's Chair. The Subcommittee will present the notification letter discussion at the January 4, 2016 Board meeting.

93 94 Ms. Curtis went over the Department's plan of pulling case files in microscopic hair comparison 95 cases with positive probative associations. Ms. Curtis and Robert Scanlon will be speaking with 96 the law students from the University of Richmond on November 21 about the Microscopic Hair 97 Comparison Case Review project. The students will begin their research in January to find 98 convictions and transcripts for the review team. 99 100 The Subcommittee directed DFS staff to move forward sending notification letters for the five 101 cases agreed upon during the closed session. 102 103 **Public Comment** 104 There was no public comment. 105

108

Next Meeting

106

107

109

110

113

## **Adjournment**

- 111 Mr. Long moved that the meeting of the Subcommittee be adjourned, which was seconded by Mr.
- Donoghue and passed by unanimous vote.
- The meeting adjourned at 2:45 p.m.

No future meeting was set.